

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,003	05/05/2005	Nobuyuki Miyaki	271877US0PCT	1366
22850	7590 06/05/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLÓN, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			TESKIN, FRED M	
	IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	

DATE MAILED: 06/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/534,003	MIYAKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Fred M. Teskin	1713	•			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. Treply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	7 February 2006.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits i	is			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without			,			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·				
6) Claim(s) <u>1- 4, 6, 9-16</u> is/are rejected.	,					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 7-9</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
<u> </u>	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		hu tha Fuanciana				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con			(4)			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•		(u).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forea) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in	Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bur	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies no	t received.				
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>022706</u> .	6) Other:	—· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Art Unit: 1713

The Reply of February 27, 2006 has been entered. Claims 1-16 are currently pending and under examination.

The objections set forth in the prior Office action have been obviated by appropriate amendment of the involved claims and the specification.

The prior art rejections based on Kurosawa et al have been reconsidered and are withdrawn in view of the amendment deleting "vinylene" from the definition of X¹ in claim 1 and applicants' arguments, see page 10 of said Reply. However, upon further consideration, new grounds of rejection are made as detailed below.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: a typographical error is noted in line 3, i.e., "stuructural". Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 4 and 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. More specifically, the following grounds of indefiniteness apply to the indicated claims.

Art Unit: 1713

(A) Claims 4, 9 and 12 are ambiguous as to the scope of X¹. Claims 4 and 12 each call for "at least 90 mol% of X¹ in the general formula (I) ... are ethylene groups," and thus purport to cover structures wherein as much as 10 mol% of X¹ is an entity other than ethylene. Claim 9 similarly calls for the hydrogenation rate of the vinylene group to be "at least 90%." This language is inconsistent with, and conceivably broader than, the base claim: claim 1 clearly states that X¹ in the general formula (I) "means an ethylene group". In view of this definition, it is unclear how X¹ can be anything other than ethylene. If, for example, claims 4, 9 and 12 are intended to allow for up to 10 mol% of X¹ to be vinylene, they are improper dependent claims as this subject matter has been deleted from the base claim. Clarification and appropriate correction are required.

Page 3

- (B) Claim 9 is confusing and technically inaccurate in reciting a "monomer having a structural unit (I) represented by the general formula (I)". The structural unit (I) and general formula (I), as depicted in claim 1, represent the *ring-opened* polymeric unit, not the monomer precursor.
- (C) Claim 9 provides the limitation to "the vinylene groups". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims. Indeed, the term "vinylene" has been deleted from claim 1, on which claim 9 depends.
- (D) Claims 10-16 are indefinite as inaccurate in the recitation "The ring-opened polynorbornene according to claim 9," inasmuch as claim 9 is drawn to a *process* not a product. Further, it is unclear whether the reference to "[t]he ring-opened

Art Unit: 1713

polynorbornene" refers to the intermediate ring-opened reaction product or the final *hydrogenated* product. Clarification and appropriate correction are required.

Claims 1, 6, 9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5115037 to Asrar.

Claim 1 is drawn to a ring-opened polynorbornene comprising a structural unit (I) represented by the general formula (I), wherein X¹ means an ethylene group and R³ represents a group represented by the general formulae (I-1) or (I-2).

Claim 9 is drawn to a process for producing a hydrogenated ring-opened polynorbornene of claim 1, which comprises the step of ring-opening reaction and the step of hydrogenation of the product of the former step wherein the hydrogenation rate of the vinylene groups is at least 90%.

Per the recited formulae (I) and (I-1), claims 1, 6, 9 and 14 are readable on ringopened polynorbornene homopolymer comprising a structural unit wherein X¹ is
ethylene, R¹ and R² are hydrogen and R³ is o-fluorophenyl or o-chlorophenyl. Such a
ring-opened polynorbornene homopolymer is described in Asrar, see Example 2 (cols.
3-4). This Example repeats the procedure of Example 1 of Asrar, wherein an
unsaturated norbornene dicarboximide homopolymer is hydrogenated and the
recovered polymer characterized as "98 % hydrogenated" (col. 3, II. 21-26), in
compliance with claim 9. Moreover, the hydrogenated products of Asrar are said to
have the structural formula depicted in column 1, lines 35+, which meets the terms of

Art Unit: 1713

claim 1 when X^1 and R^3 are ethylene and aryl, respectively; R^1 and R^2 are hydrogen and m = n = 0 in general formula (I).

The description in Asrar of specific embodiments of the subject matter broadly claimed in the indicated claims constitutes a description of the invention for anticipation purposes. See *In re Luckach*, 169 USPQ 795 (CCPA 1971).

Claims 2-4 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asrar.

Asrar differs from claims 2-4 and 10-12 only in that the specifically disclosed polyethylene cyclopentanedicarboximides are homopolymers, and thus lack a structural unit (II) as defined in these claims.

Nevertheless, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the precursor (unhydrogenated) homopolymer of the above-cited examples through copolymerization so as to provide a structural unit (II) as claimed. This is because Asrar expressly provides for the precursor polymer being a homopolymer or a copolymer and, in the case of a copolymer, using "non-imide metathesis polymerizable monomers such as norbornene nitrile" (col. 2, II. 30-32). Norbornene nitrile is seen to correspond to structural unit (II) as set out in the indicated claims, e.g., claims 2 and 10, when one of \mathbb{R}^4 to \mathbb{R}^7 is a polar group, the balance are hydrogen atoms and $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}$ in general formula (II).

Art Unit: 1713

Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been fully considered but are deemed moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claims 5, 7 and 8 are objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: A ring-opened polynorbornene having the structural unit (I), wherein in the general formula (I), m is 0 and n is 1, per claim 5, is not taught nor fairly suggested in the available prior art; nor is the selection of another substituent group than hydrogen for at least one of R^{11} and R^{18} and at least one of R^{12} , R^{15} and R^{19} (claim 7) or for both R^{11} and R^{18} (claim 8) in structural unit (I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner F. M. Teskin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1116. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 AM - 4:30 PM, and can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 1713

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FMTeskin/05-30-06

FRED TESKIN PRIMARY EXAMINE! Page 7

1713